COMMISSIONERS

PAUL NEWMAN

BRENDA BURNS

BOB STUMP

GARY PIERCE - Chairman

SANDRA D. KENNEDY

6

4

V.

13 14

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION CONVINCIONAL SILVIN

2011 FFB 17 P 1: 36

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

FEB 1 7 2011

DOCKETED BY

DOCKET NO. T-01051B-10-0200

PROCEDURAL ORDER

BY THE COMMISSION:

OWEST CORPORATION,

GEORGE BEIN-WILLNER, for GLENDALE & 27TH INVESTMENTS, LLC

COMPLAINANT,

RESPONDENT.

On May 17, 2010, George Bein-Willner, for Glendale & 27th Investments, LLC ("Complainant") filed with the Arizona Corporation Commission ("Commission") a Formal Complaint ("Complaint") against Qwest Corporation ("Qwest"). The Complaint alleges that Qwest has incorrectly billed Complainant, who owns and operates Sterling International Hotel, for a 1-800 line that should have terminated in 2004. Complainant requests relief in the amount of approximately \$10,000.

On June 10, 2010, Qwest filed an Answer to the Complaint, denying the allegations alleged in the Complaint. Qwest's Answer states that as a gesture of goodwill, and not as an admission of liability. Owest provided Complainant a back credit to July 2009 and Qwest requests that the Commission dismiss the Complaint.

On August 16, 2010, by Procedural Order, Qwest's Motion to Dismiss was denied and a procedural conference was set for September 8, 2010

On August 20, 2010, Complainant filed a letter requesting that the procedural conference scheduled for September 8, 2010, be rescheduled for early October, due to a conflict in Complainant's business schedule.

On August 26, 2010, Qwest filed a response to Complainant's request for a continuance of the

procedural conference. Qwest stated that it had no objection to the continuance and requested to appear telephonically if the newly scheduled date conflicted with Qwest counsel's travel schedule.

On August 27, 2010, a Procedural Order was issued continuing the procedural conference to October 7, 2010, and Qwest's request to appear telephonically was granted.

On October 7, 2010, the procedural conference was held as scheduled. Qwest appeared through counsel and Complainant appeared on his own behalf. During the procedural conference, the parties requested that Staff conduct an informal mediation to provide clarification on the issues involved in the Complaint and to see if settlement of the issues is possible.

By Procedural Order dated November 4, 2010, Staff was directed to engage in an informal mediation with the parties in an effort to clarify the issues involved in the Complaint and to determine if settlement of the issues is possible.

On December 7, 2010, Staff filed a Motion to Forego Staff Participation in Informal Mediation ("Motion"). Staff states it has reviewed the issues in this matter during the informal complaint proceeding. Staff explained that during the informal process Staff acts as a mediator and the process allows complainants who are unfamiliar with Commission proceedings to attempt to resolve their issues in a more relaxed setting. Staff states that both Complainant and Qwest are familiar with Commission proceedings and to conduct additional informal proceedings is unnecessary. Staff states that re-examining the issues at the informal complaint level would be an inefficient use of Staff's limited resources and that this matter should continue as a Formal Complaint.

On December 10, 2010, the Complainant filed a Response to Staff's Motion ("Response"). Complainant states that under A.A.C. R14-2-510, Complainant is entitled to participate in the informal complaint process. On January 5, 2011, Staff filed its Reply in Support of Staff's Motion ("Reply"). In the Reply, Staff reasserts its position that the matter should proceed thru the Formal Complaint process. Staff states that Complainant will be afforded due process through the Formal Complaint proceeding.

On February 15, 2011, Complainant filed a letter requesting immediate assistance in addressing the issues raised in this docket. Complainant's letter further states that Complainant

believes that this matter has been unjustly delayed to the benefit of Qwest.

Complainant has been afforded an opportunity to participate in the Commission's informal compliant process. Based on the information in Staff's Motion, Complainant's Response, and Complainant's letter, it is appropriate to grant Staff's Motion and to set an evidentiary hearing to resolve the issues raised in this matter.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Staff's Motion is hereby granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the **hearing** in the above-captioned matter shall commence on **May 2, 2011, at 10:00 a.m.**, or as soon thereafter as is practicable, at the Commission's offices, 1200 West Washington Street, Hearing Room 1, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Complainant and Qwest may conduct discovery through April 1, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that discovery shall be permitted by law and the rules and regulations of the Commission. Any objection to discovery requests shall be made within three calendar days of receipt¹ and responses to discovery requests shall be made within seven calendar days of receipt. The response time may be extended by mutual agreement of the parties involved if the request requires an extensive compilation effort.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that for discovery requests, objections, and answers, if a receiving party requests service to be made electronically, and the sending party has the technical capability to provide service electronically, service to that party shall be made electronically.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, in the alternative to filing a written motion to compel discovery, any party seeking resolution of a discovery dispute may telephonically contact the Commission's Hearing Division to request a date for a procedural hearing to resolve the discovery dispute; that upon such a request, a procedural hearing will be convened as soon as practicable; and that the party making such a request shall contact all other parties to advise them of the hearing date and shall at the procedural hearing provide a statement confirming that the other parties were contacted.²

¹ The date of receipt of discovery requests is not counted as a calendr day, and requests received after 4:00 p.m. MST will be considered as received the next business day.

² The parties are encouraged to attempt to settle discovery disputes through informal, good-faith negotiations before seeking Commission resolution of the controversy.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Complainant and Qwest shall file a joint Status Report discussing any issues that have been resolved on or before April 15, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any **Responses to Motions** shall be filed within five days of the Motion.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any **Motions** which are filed in this matter and which are not ruled upon by the Commission within 20 days of the filing date of the Motion shall be deemed denied.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the direct testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Complainant shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before March 18, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the rebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Qwest shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before April 8, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the surrebuttal testimony and associated exhibits to be presented at hearing by Complainant shall be reduced to writing and filed on or before April 22, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any objections to testimony or exhibits that have been filed as of April 22, 2011, shall be made on or before April 27, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that any substantive corrections, revisions, or supplements to the pre-filed testimony shall be reduced to writing and filed no later than April 27, 2011.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Ex Parte Rule (A.A.C. R14-3-113-Unauthorized Communications) applies to this proceeding.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all parties must comply with Arizona Supreme Court Rules 31 and 38 and A.R.S. § 40-243 with respect to practice of law and admission *pro hac vice*.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that withdrawal of representation must be made in compliance with A.A.C. R14-3-104(E) and Rule 1.16 of the Rules of Professional Conduct (Arizona Supreme Court Rule 42). Representation before the Commission includes the obligation to appear at all hearings and procedural conferences, as well as all Open Meetings for which the matter is scheduled

1	for discussion, unless counsel has previously been granted permission to withdraw by the
2	Administrative Law Judge or the Commission.
3	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Administrative Law Judge may rescind, alter, amend,
4	or waive any portion of this Procedural Order either by subsequent Procedural Order or by ruling at
5	hearing.
6	DATED this day of February, 2011.
7	
8	Liotto Retuined
9	YVETTE B. KINSEY ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE
10	
11	Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered this 17th day of February, 2011, to:
12	George Bein-Willner
13 14	George Bein-Willner GLENDALE & 27 TH INVESTMENTS, LLC 3641 North 39 th Avenue Phoenix, AZ 85004
15	Norman G. Curtright, Corporate Counsel
16	QWEST CORPORATION 20 East Thomas Road, 16 th Floor Phoenix, AZ 85012-3114
17	Janice Alward, Chief Counsel
18	Legal Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
19	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
20	Steven M. Olea, Director
21	Utilities Division ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION
22	1200 West Washington Street Phoenix, AZ 85007
23	ARIZONA REPORTING SERVICE, INC.
24	2200 North Central Avenue, Suite 502 Phoenix, AZ 85004-1481
25	Bu At Dardy
26	By: My Debra Broyles Secretary to Yvette B. Kinsey
27	Secretary to a vette B. Island,